arXiv:1702.06897v1 [math.AT] 21 Feb 2017

Rigidity of powers and Kosniowski's conjecture

Zhi Lü* and Oleg R. Musin†

Abstract

In this paper we state a problem on rigidity of powers and give a solution of this problem for $m = 2$. Our statement of this problem is elementary enough and does not require any knowledge of algebraic topology. Actually, this problem is related to unitary circle actions, rigid Hirzebruch genera and Kosniowski's conjecture.

Keywords: circle action, fixed points, rigid multiplicative genus

Kosniowski [6] conjectured that a unitary $2n$–dimensional $\mathbf{S}^1$–manifold that is not bound equivariantly has at least $[n/2]+1$ isolated fixed points. In Section 1 we state a problem that yields Kosniowski's conjecture. In Section 2 we give a solution of this problem for $m = 2$ (see also [12]). In Section 3 we consider a topological background of this problem, unitary circle actions and rigid Hirzebruch genera.

1 The power–rigidity problem

Let $W = (w_{ij})$ be a $m \times n$ matrix, where all w_{ij} are nonzero integers. Let x and y be any complex numbers. Let

$$T^W_{x,y}(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \prod_j \frac{xz^{w_{ij}} + y}{z^{w_{ij}} - 1}.$$

Then $T^W_{x,y}(z)$ is a rational function in z.

We say that a set of powers W is *rigid* if the function $T^W_{x,y}(z)$ is a constant. It is easy to see that for a rigid W we have

$$T^W_{x,y}(z) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m} x^{s_i^+}(-y)^{s_i^-},$$

where s_i^+, s_i^- are numbers of positive and negative powers w_{ij} in the row $w_{i1}, \dots, w_{in}$.

*The first author is partially supported by the NSFC grants (No. 11371093, 11431009 and 11661131004).

†The second author is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1400876 and the RFBR grant 15-01-99563.

Example. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}$ be distinct integer numbers. Let

$$(w_{i1}, \dots, w_{in}) := (a_i - a_1, \dots, a_i - a_{i-1}, a_i - a_{i+1}, \dots, a_i - a_{n+1}).$$

Then W is an $(n+1) \times n$ matrix.

It can be proved (see [11]) that W is rigid and

$$T^W_{x,y}(z) = \frac{x^{n+1} - (-y)^{n+1}}{x+y}.$$

The power–rigidity problem. Let W be a rigid $m \times n$ matrix, i.e., a rigid *set of powers.* Suppose there are x and y such that $T^W_{x,y}(z) \neq 0$. *Is there a constant* $c > 0$ *such that* $m \geq cn + 1$*?* (or *Is there some linear function* $\ell(n)$ *such that* $m > \ell(n)$*?*)

Let us extend this problem. Let $W = (w_{ij})$ be a $m \times (n+1)$ matrix, where all w_{ij} are nonzero integers and $w_{i,n+1} = \pm 1$. We denote $w_{i,n+1}$ by ε_i. Let

$$T^W_{x,y}(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i \prod_j \frac{xz^{w_{ij}} + y}{z^{w_{ij}} - 1}$$

(Note that this formula for $T^W_{x,y}(z)$ follows from (3.4).)

As above, a set of powers (with signs) is rigid if $T^W_{x,y}(z)$ does not depend on z. In this case we have

$$T^W_{x,y}(z) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i x^{s_i^+} (-y)^{s_i^-}$$

We can ask the same question as in the power–rigidity problem for sets of powers with signs.

Now the problem is essentially related to the Kosniowswki's conjecture: for a unitary circle action on a unitary closed manifold of dimension $2n$ fixing just m isolated points, if the action is not equivariantly bordant, then

$$m \geq [n/2] + 1.$$

2 The case $m = 2$

Let $m = 2$. Consider the following three sets $(w_{ij})_{2\times(n+1)}$ of powers (note that $w_{i,n+1} = \varepsilon_i$).

(Z): $w_{1i} = w_{2i} = a_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i \neq 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\varepsilon_1 = -\varepsilon_2$.

(L_1): $n = 1$, $w_{11} = a$, $w_{21} = -a$, where $a > 0$, and $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$.

(S_3): $n = 3$, $(w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{13}) = (a, b, -(a+b)) = -(w_{21}, w_{22}, w_{23})$, where a and b are positive integer, and $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$.

The following theorem has been proved in [12].

Theorem 2.1. *Let W be a rigid set of powers with $m = 2$. Then W can only be Z, L_1 or S_3.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$|w_{k1}| \geq |w_{k2}| \geq \ldots \geq |w_{kn}|, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

It is easy to see that $|w_{1i}| = |w_{2i}|$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, if the set of powers is not Z, then $w_{1i} = -w_{2i}$ for all i. Otherwise, $T^W_{x,y}(z)$ cannot be a constant. Therefore, $T^W_{x,y}(z)$ can be written in the following form:

$$T^W_{x,y}(z) = \frac{(xz^{a_1} + y)\cdots(xz^{a_k} + y)(x + yz^{b_1})\cdots(x + yz^{b_\ell})}{(z^{a_1} - 1)\cdots(z^{a_k} - 1)(z^{b_1} - 1)\cdots(z^{b_\ell} - 1)} -$$

$$\frac{(x + yz^{a_1})\cdots(x + yz^{a_k})(xz^{b_1} + y)\cdots(xz^{b_\ell} + y)}{(z^{a_1} - 1)\cdots(z^{a_k} - 1)(z^{b_1} - 1)\cdots(z^{b_\ell} - 1)} = x^k y^\ell - x^\ell y^k, \qquad (2.1)$$

where $k + \ell = n$ and all a_i and b_j are positive integers.

So for $n = 1$ we have L_1. If $n > 1$, then $k > 0$ and $\ell > 0$. For $y = 0$, the equation (2.1) implies

$$a_1 + \ldots + a_k = b_1 + \ldots + b_\ell \qquad (2.2)$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $a := a_1 \geq a_i$ for all i and $a_1 > b_j$ for all j. (As we mentioned above, we cannot have the equality $a_1 = b_j$.) Let $x = -z^a$ and $y = 1$. Then (2.1) yields

$$\frac{(z^{2a} - 1)\cdots(z^{a_k + a} - 1)(z^a - z^{b_1})\cdots(z^a - z^{b_\ell})}{(z^a - 1)\cdots(z^{a_k} - 1)(z^{b_1} - 1)\cdots(z^{b_\ell} - 1)} = (-1)^\ell z^{ak} - (-1)^k z^{a\ell} \qquad (2.3)$$

Since $a > b_j$, we have $a\ell > b_1 + \ldots + b_\ell$. Then (2.3) implies

$$ka = b_1 + \ldots + b_\ell \qquad (2.4)$$

Therefore, from (2.2) we have $a_1 + \ldots + a_k = ka$, $a_1 = \ldots = a_k = a$, k is odd, and ℓ is even. Then

$$\frac{(z^a + 1)^k (z^{a - b_1} - 1)\cdots(z^{a - b_\ell} - 1)}{(z^{b_1} - 1)\cdots(z^{b_\ell} - 1)} = z^{a(\ell - k)} + 1 \qquad (2.5)$$

Equation (2.5) yields $k = 1$, $\ell = 2$ and $a = b_1 + b_2$. Thus, it is the case S_3. □

3 Unitary circle action, Kosniowski's conjecture and rigid Hirzebruch genera

We say that M is a *unitary* $\mathbf{S}^1$*-manifold* if it is a smooth closed manifold with an effective circle action such that its tangent bundle admits an $\mathbf{S}^1$-equivariant stable complex structure.

Each component of the fixed point set of a unitary $\mathbf{S}^1$-manifold M is again a unitary $\mathbf{S}^1$-manifold, and its normal bundle to M is a complex $\mathbf{S}^1$-vector bundle with a complex structure induced from the one on $TM \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^r$. In particular, the tangent space T_pM at an isolated $\mathbf{S}^1$-fixed point p is a complex $\mathbf{S}^1$-module. Thus, if M has an isolated $\mathbf{S}^1$-fixed point, then the dimension of M must be even.

Let M be a unitary $2n$-dimensional $\mathbf{S}^1$-manifold with m isolated fixed points $p_1, \dots, p_m$. Denote weights in the tangent $\mathbf{S}^1$-representation at p_i by $w_{i1}, \dots, w_{in}$ and its sign by ε_i, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$.

Bott residue formula tells us how to calculate the Chern numbers of M in terms of the set of weights and signs:

$$\langle c_1^{r_1} \cdots c_n^{r_n}, [M] \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\sigma_1^{r_1}(w_{i1}, \dots, w_{in}) \cdots \sigma_n^{r_n}(w_{i1}, \dots, w_{in})}{\varepsilon_i \prod_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij}}$$

where $r_1 + 2r_2 + \cdots + nr_n = n$ and σ_i denotes the i-th elementary symmetric function. Note that if $r_1 + 2r_2 + \cdots + nr_n < n$, then $\langle c_1^{r_1} \cdots c_n^{r_n}, [M] \rangle = 0$. This implies that we obtain many equations for the set of weights and signs (cf. [2], [3]).

If $m = 2$, then there are the following sets of weights for unitary $\mathbf{S}^1$-manifolds:

(Z): $w_{1i} = w_{2i} = a_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i \neq 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\varepsilon_1 = -\varepsilon_2$. A manifold with these weights is cobordant to zero in the ring $U_*^{\mathbf{S}^1}$ of complex bordisms with circle actions.

(L_1): $n = 1$, $w_{11} = a$, $w_{21} = -a$, where $a > 0$, and $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$. It is a linear action of $\mathbf{S}^1$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1$:

$$[z_0 : z_1] \longmapsto [e^{ia\varphi} z_0 : z_1].$$

(S_3): $n = 3$, weights in p_1 and p_2 are $(a, b, -(a+b))$ and $(-a, -b, a+b)$ respectively, where a and b are positive integer, and $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$. This action admit $\mathbb{S}^6$ that is almost complex.

In [12] we proved that *if M be a unitary $2n$–dimensional $\mathbf{S}^1$–manifold with two isolated fixed points, then the set of weights can only be Z, L_1 or S_3.*

Our proof relies on the fact that $T_{x,y}$ genus is rigid. We consider this method below.

Kosniowski [6] conjectured that a unitary $2n$–dimensional $\mathbf{S}^1$–manifold that does not bound equivariantly has at least $[n/2]+1$ isolated fixed points. Actually, Theorem 2.1 proves the conjecture for $n \leq 4$. For the other special cases, see [8, 13].

Note that this problem was solved only for $\mathbf{T}^n$–manifolds of dimension $2n$. Namely, in [9, 10] we proved that for toric manifolds there are at least $\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$ isolated fixed points.

It is not clear, can Kosniowski's conjecture be proved using only the rigidity of the $T_{x,y}$ genus?

Let U_* be the complex bordism ring with coefficients in $R = \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, or $\mathbb{C}$. For a closed smooth stably complex manifold X, Hirzebruch [5] defined a

multiplicative genus $h(X)$ by a homomorphism $h : U_* \otimes R \to R$.

Recall that according to Milnor and Novikov, two stably complex manifolds are complex cobordant if and only if they have the same Chern numbers. Therefore, for any multiplicative genus h there exists a multiplicative sequence of polynomials $\{K_i(c_1, \dots, c_i)\}$ such that $h(X) = K_n(c_1, \dots, c_n)$, where the c_k are the Chern classes of X and $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (see [5]).

Let U_*^G be the ring of complex bordisms of manifolds with actions of a compact Lie group G. Then for any homomorphism $h : U_* \otimes R \to R$ can be define an *equivariant genus* h^G, i.e. a homomorphism

$$h^G : U_*^G \otimes R \to K(BG) \otimes R$$

(see details in [7]).

A multiplicative genus h is called *rigid* if for any connected compact group G the equivariant genus $h^G(X) = h(X)$. That means

$$h^G : U_*^G \otimes R \to R \subset K(BG) \otimes R,$$

i.e. $h^G([X, G])$ belongs to the ring of constants. It is well known (see [1, 7]) that $\mathbf{S}^1$-rigidity implies G-rigidity, i.e. it is sufficient to prove rigidity only for the case $G = \mathbf{S}^1$.

For $G = \mathbf{S}^1$, the universal classifying space BG is $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty$, and the ring $K(BG) \otimes R$ is isomorphic to the ring of formal power series $R[[u]]$. Then for any $\mathbf{S}^1-$manifold X and a Hirzebruch genus h we have $h^{S^1}([X, \mathbf{S}^1])$ in $R[[u]]$. In the case of an $\mathbf{S}^1-$action on a unitary manifold X^{2n} with isolated fixed points $p_1 \dots, p_m$ with weights $w_{i1}, \dots, w_{in}$, and signs ε_i, $i = 1, \dots, m$, h^{S^1} can be found explicitly (see [4, 7]):

$$h^{S^1}([X, \mathbf{S}^1]) = S_h(\{w_{ij}\}, u) := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i \prod_j \frac{H(w_{ij}\, u)}{w_{ij} u}, \tag{3.1}$$

where H is the characteristic series of h (see [5, 11]). If h is rigid, then from (3.1) it follows that

$$h(X) = S_h(\{w_{ij}\}, u) \ \text{ for any } \ u. \tag{3.2}$$

Atiyah and Hirzebruch [1] based on the Atiyah-Singer index theorem proved that T_y-genus is rigid. Krichever [7] gives a proof of rigidity of the $T_{x,y}-$genus using global analytic properties of $S_h(\{w_{ij}\}, u)$. In [11] we show that if h is a rigid genus, then it is $T_{x,y}$.

It is not hard to see that (3.2) yields the Atiyah-Hirzebruch formula [1, 7, 4] for a unitary $\mathbf{S}^1$-manifold X:

$$T_{x,y}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i x^{s_i^+} (-y)^{s_i^-}, \tag{3.3}$$

where s_i^+, s_i^- are numbers of positive and negative weights $\{w_{ij}\}$.

In [7] is given the following formula for the $T_{x,y}$–genus:

$$H_{x,y}(u) = \frac{u(xe^{u(x+y)} + y)}{e^{u(x+y)} - 1}.$$

Let $z := e^{(x+y)u}$. Then (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3) imply

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i \prod_j \frac{xz^{w_{ij}} + y}{z^{w_{ij}} - 1} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i x^{s_i^+} (-y)^{s_i^-} \tag{3.4}$$

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, Spin manifolds and group actions, in Essays in Topology and Related Subjects, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970, pp. 18-28.

[2] M. F. Atiyah andI. M. Singer, The index theory of elliptic operators: III, Ann. of Math., 87 (1968), 546–604.

[3] R. Bott, Vector fields and characteristic numbers, Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967), 231–244.

[4] V. M. Buchstaber and N. Ray, The universal equivariant genus and Krichever's formula, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 62:1 (2007), 178 - 180.

[5] F. Hirzebruch, Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, 3rd edition, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, no. 131, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg 1966.

[6] C. Kosniowski, Some formulae and conjectures associated with circle actions, in "Topology Symposium, Siegen 1979" (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Siegen, Siegen, 1979), 331–339, Lecture Notes in Math., 788, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[7] I. M. Krichever, Formal groups and the Atiyah - Hirzebruch formula, *Izv. Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* **8** (1974), 1271–1285.

[8] Ping Li and Kefeng Liu, *Some remarks on circle action on manifolds*, Mathematical Research Letters **18** (2011), 437–446.

[9] Z. Lü, Equivariant cobordism of unitary toric manifolds, in Conference V. Buchstaber–70 "Algebraic topology and Abelian functions", 18–22 June 2013, Moscow, Abstracts, 53–54.

[10] Z. Lü and Q. Tan, Equivariant Chern numbers and the number of fixed points for torus manifolds, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **18:6** (2011), 1319–1325.

[11] O. R. Musin, On rigid Hirzebruch genera, *Mosc. Math. J.,* **11:1** (2011), 139–147

[12] O. R. Musin, Circle actions with two isolated points, *Mathematical Notes*, **100:4** (2016), 636–638.

[13] A. Pelayo and S. Tolman, *Fixed points of symplectic periodic flows*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **31** (2011), 1237–1247.

Zhi Lü, School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, P.R. China.

E-mail address: zlu@fudan.edu.cn

O. R. Musin, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, One West University Boulevard, Brownsville, TX, 78520, USA.

E-mail address: oleg.musin@utrgv.edu